Coupling between the Game, Parser, and CommandWords classes so far seems to have been very good – it was easy to make this extension, and we got it to work quickly.

The problem that was mentioned before – implicit coupling – becomes apparent when we now issue a *help* command. The output is

```
You are lost. You are alone. You wander around at the university.
```

```
Your command words are: go quit help
```

Now we notice a small problem. The help text is incomplete: the new command, *look*, is not listed.

This seems easy to fix: we can just edit the help text string in the Game's printHelp method. This is quickly done, and does not seem a great problem. But suppose we had not noticed this error now. Did you think of this problem before you just read about it here?

This is a fundamental problem, because every time a command is added the help text needs to be changed, and it is very easy to forget to make this change. The program compiles and runs, and everything seems fine. A maintenance programmer may well believe that the job is finished, and release a program that now contains a bug.

This is an example of implicit coupling. When commands change, the help text must be modified (coupling), but nothing in the program source clearly points out this dependence (thus implicit).

A well-designed class will avoid this form of coupling by following the rule of responsibility-driven design: since the CommandWords class is responsible for command words, it should also be responsible for printing command words. Thus we add the following method to the CommandWords class:

```
/**
  * Print all valid commands to System.out.
  */
public void showAll()
{
    for(String command : validCommands) {
        System.out.print(command + " ");
    }
    System.out.println();
}
```

The idea here is that the printHelp method in Game, instead of printing a fixed text with the command words, invokes a method that asks the CommandWords class to print all its command words. Doing this ensures that the correct command words will always be printed, and adding a new command will also add it to the help text without further change.

The only remaining problem is that the Game object does not have a reference to the CommandWords object. You can see in the class diagram (Figure 7.1) that there is no arrow from Game to CommandWords. This indicates that the Game class does not even know of the existence of the CommandWords class. Instead, the game just has a parser, and the parser has command words.

We could now add a method to the parser that hands the CommandWords object to the Game object, so that they could communicate. This would, however, increase the degree of coupling in our application: Game would then depend on CommandWords, which it currently does not. We would see this effect in the class diagram: Game would then have an arrow to CommandWords.

The arrows in the diagram are, in fact, a good first indication of how tightly coupled a program is: the more arrows, the more coupling. As an approximation of good class design, we can aim at creating diagrams with few arrows.

Thus the fact that Game did not have a reference to CommandWords is a good thing! We should not change this. From Game's viewpoint, the fact that the CommandWords class exists is an implementation detail of the parser. The parser returns commands, and whether it uses a CommandWords object to achieve this or something else is entirely up to the parser's implementation.

It follows that a better design just lets the Game talk to the Parser, which in turn may talk to CommandWords. We can implement this by adding the following code to the printHelp method in Game:

```
System.out.println("Your command words are:");
parser.showCommands();
```

All that is missing then is the showCommands method in the Parser, which delegates this task to the CommandWords class. Here is the complete method (in class Parser):

```
/**
  * Print out a list of valid command words.
  */
public void showCommands()
{
    commands.showAll();
}
```